

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



h

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/973,037 | 10/10/2001 | Motomu Toriyama | P21336 | 5057 |
| 7055 | 7590 10/29/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE | | | ENATSKY, AARON L | |
| RESTON, VA | | · | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3713 | 7 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003 | 3 b |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/973,037 | TORIYAMA ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Aaron L Enatsky | 3713 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the co | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 | October 2001 . | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | nis action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | <u></u> | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | • | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) $1-12$ is/are pending in the application | n. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| S Patent and T | rademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/973,037

Art Unit: 3713

7

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,971,856 to Aoyama et al. ("Aoyama") in view of JP Publication No. 10-049416 to Whiting et al. ("Whiting"). Aoyama teaches saving player game data as game progress advances, in a memory card, allowing a player to return to saved game location (1:12-28). Thus the saved game data on a memory card would be read into a main memory when the player decides to access the saved game data. Aoyama does not teach the specific requirements of comparing new data to be saved with existing stored game data. Whiting teaches a common technique used when saving computer data. This technique is taught as comparing existing data to new data to be saved, where only new data different from the old, stored data will be copied (Claim 1). This technique is employed to reduce the time needed to save data, where one would be motivated use the data writing technique taught by Whiting to reduce data writing time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoyama to use the data writing technique taught by Whiting to increase data writing speed and efficiency.

Aoyama in view of Whiting teaches the limitation as discussed above, but also do not specifically detail the data type saved to a memory card as "translation dictionary data".

However, Aoyama in view of Whiting teaches saving game state data, which would encompass

Application/Control Number: 09/973,037

Art Unit: 3713

all necessary data used by game to define game state. Additionally, absence a showing of criticality, the translation dictionary data is considered analogous game state data taught by Aoyama in view of Whiting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to save translation dictionary data in the memory card to insure game state recovery.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Pat. No. 6,009,458 to Hawkins et al. teaches saving game data state and game attributes earned and developed by a player.

US Pat. No. 6,527,641 to Sinclair et al. teaches a network game system that saves game state, allowing a player to resume a game.

Conclusion

In regard to Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement, Examiner acknowledges receipt of form PTO-1449. However, the submission did not garner a full review as the disclosed documents do not appear to have been submitted with PTO-1449. Resubmission of the missing documents will be necessary for full consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron L Enatsky whose telephone number is 703-305-3525. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-6 M-Th.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,037

Art Unit: 3713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Aaron Enatsky October 21, 2003

Teresa Walberg
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700